



Editorial Office to the Reviewer:

Dear Sir / Madam,

We hope you may be willing to review this manuscript and evaluate its suitability for publication in NEURAL NETWORK WORLD. To help us in reducing a decision, please, complete each of the following sections. If you cannot return this manuscript with comment in four weeks, please, return it immediately without any comments.

MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

IDN:

Page 1

Reviewer:

Date:

Address of Institution:

E-mail(s) :

Phone:
 Mobile:

Author(s):

Title:

Ratings:

| | Fits to scope of NNW / cites NNW | Quality of Methodology | Originality of Work | Soundness of Conclusions | Significance of Subject | Clarity | Organization | Linguistic quality of English |
|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Superior | | | | | | | | |
| Good | | | | | | | | |
| Fair | | | | | | | | |
| Poor | | | | | | | | |

Recommendation (mark one)

Accept „as it is“ Revise and Accept Revise and Reconsider Rewrite Reject

Is the abstract, and are the figures, legends, and references acceptable? YES NO

If not, please explain (copy of this text will be sent to the authors.):

General Comments to the Editorial Office:

(These comments are for the guidance of the Editorial Office only and will NOT be sent to the authors.)

1. Please provide brief and compelling argument supporting (a) your recommendations and (b) the above ratings below under „General Comments to the Editorial Office“
2. Comments on the next sheet, page 2, should be designed to help author its revisions or to state clearly, but kindly, reasons for not accepting the manuscript. These sheets will be sending to the authors if any revisions are required.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Please fill the Manuscript Review Form, rename it (e.g. REV_IDN-xxxx.PDF, where IDN is ID number of article and xxxx is Name of Reviewer) and save it as PDF file and send it by email to nnw@fd.cvut.cz

Author(s):

Title:

Reviewer's Recommendation to the article

Accept „as it is“

Revise and Accept

Revise and Reconsider

Rewrite

Reject

Is the abstract, and are the figures, legends, and references acceptable?

YES

NO

If not, please explain (these comments are automatically copied from the 1st page, please, do not edit them here):

Specific Comments from Reviewer to Author

Please include here all your comments to help the authors to improve the paper, explaining also any low ratings in the review results.

| Page | Para (line) | Comments

Specific Comments from Reviewer to Author - continue

Please include here all your comments to help the authors to improve the paper, explaining also any low ratings in the review results.

| Page | Para (line) | Comments |
|------|-------------|----------|
|------|-------------|----------|